

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
BELTRAMI COUNTY, MINNESOTA**

DATE: February 21, 2006

RESOLUTION # 06-02-01

MOTION OF COMMISSIONER: Frost

SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER:Heltzer

**THE BOARD, BY ADOPTION OF ITS CONSENT AGENDA, APPROVED A
RESOLUTION TO ADOPT 2006 STATE MANDATE RELIEF, AS SUBMITTED**

WHEREAS, Minnesota Statutes Section 6.79 allows local governments to submit to the State Auditor proposed reforms to state mandates; and

WHEREAS, mandate proposals will be listed on the State Auditor's website, along with the name of the local government submitting the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Beltrami County Board of Commissioners supports the concept of mandate reform and urges the Minnesota Legislature to give serious consideration to all proposals made by Townships, Cities, Counties and School Districts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Beltrami County Board of Commissioners hereby adopts the following mandate relief proposals and directs the County Administrator to submit this listing to the State Auditor:

Adopted this 21st day of February, 2006

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Fairbanks	excused	
Frost	X	
Vene	X	
Heltzer	X	
Otterstad	X	

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
(SS
COUNTY OF BELTRAMI)

I, Tony Murphy, County Administrator, Beltrami County, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy of a resolution with the original minutes of the proceedings of the Board of County Commissioners, Beltrami County, Minnesota, at their regular session held on February 21, 2006 now on file in my office and have found the same to be a true and correct copy thereof.

Tony Murphy, County Administrator

Eliminate Library Maintenance of Effort	134.34		Eliminate the requirement that in order to receive state grant a county must budget for library operations an amount equal to the 2 nd prior year's level of support unless adjusted net tax capacity decreases. Counties need the ability to respond to changing priorities and needs. When one area of the budget is off limits to reductions, other programs or services and their recipients unfairly bear a disproportionately greater share of any cutbacks when they occur.	
---	--------	--	---	--