

Richard A. Kieren
Mayor

John M. Foschi
Administrator

City of Proctor

You Have A Place in Proctor

COUNCILORS
Jake P. Benson
David M. Brenna
Philip G. Larson
Thomas L. Lavat

100 Pionk Drive • Proctor, Minnesota 55810-1700 • (218) 624-3641 • Fax (218) 624-9459 • email: cityhall@ci.proctor.mn.us

Motion by Kieren, seconded by Benson and carried: To adopt the following:

Resolution No. 05-06

WHEREAS, Minnesota Statutes 6.79 creates a new venue at the Office of State Auditor for mandate reform ideas from cities and other local units of government, and

WHEREAS, those submitted proposals on mandate relief and reform will be listed on the State Auditor's website, thereby giving local governments another communication tool for expressing their concerns on any given mandate, and

WHEREAS, the Proctor City Council supports the concept of mandate reform and urges the Minnesota Legislature to give serious consideration to all proposals made by Cities, Counties, Townships, and School Boards, and

WHEREAS, the Proctor City Council finds the following list to be unfunded/underfunded mandates and directs City Administrator to submit this listing to State Auditor, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that Proctor City Council does hereby request that the requirements of said statute be changed in one of the following ways:

Adopted at March 6, 2006 Proctor City Council meeting.

BY: 
Richard A. Kieren
Mayor

ATTEST: 
John M. Foschi
City Administrator

R-05-06

<p>Either eliminate or totally state-fund continued health insurance for disabled peace officers</p>	<p>Mn Statutes §299A.465</p>	<p>Employers are required to pay the employer share of disabled peace officers' and their dependents' health insurance until the peace officer reaches age 65 even if the officer gains other employment and health insurance coverage. Since this benefit was created in the late 1990's, there has been a surge in disability retirements. In part because of this, both employer and employee contribution rates to the PERA Police and Fire pension plan are increasing over 50%. When this benefit was originally enacted, it was predicated on the state paying 100% of its costs. The state has not kept it part of that bargain for several years. If the state cannot meet that obligation, the mandated payment by the employer should be eliminated.</p>
--	------------------------------	---