<u>RESOLUTION #2012 - 28</u>

Whereas, in 2010 the Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation to develop a standard set of performance measures for counties and cities, and,

Whereas, the Council developed ten measurement standards for counties for this voluntary program, and

Whereas, the Winona County Board adopted Performance Measures on June 5, 2012.

Be it resolved, that the Winona County Board elects to participate in the state adopted performance measures program and will abide by the following reporting requirements:

- The county has adopted and implemented the minimum 10 performance measures developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
- The county has implemented a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
- The county has or will report the results of the 10 adopted measures (listed below) to its residents before the end of the calendar year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the entity's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed (PDF format).
 - 1. Public Safety Part I and II Crime Rates
 - 2. Probation/Corrections Percent of Adult Offend
 - 3. Public Works Hours to complete system during a snow event
 - 4. Public Works Average county pavement condition rating.
 - 5. Public Health Life expectancy generally and by sex and race.
 - 6. Social Services Workforce participation rate among MFIP and DWP recipients
 - 7. Social Services Percentage of children where there is a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months following an intervention.
 - 8. Taxation Level of assessment ration.
 - 9. Elections Accuracy of post-election audit.
 - 10. Parks Mission Statement and Goals
 - 11. Library Annual Visit Per 1000 Residents

Adopted at Winona, Minnesota this 26th day of June, 2012.

WINONA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
Mera Kaehlu

Filomena Kaehler, Chair

Attest:

Duane Hebert

County Administrator

Model Performance Measures for Counties

In 2010 the Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation to develop a standard set of performance measures for counties and cities. The Council developed ten measurement standards for counties for this voluntary program. Counties determine whether to participate or not in this program by July 1, 2011. According to correspondence from the Office of the State Auditor participating counties are eligible for a reimbursement of \$0.14 per capita in local government aid, not to exceed \$25,000 and is also exempt from levy limits under sections 275.74 for taxes payable in 2012, if levy limits are in effect.

The Winona County Board adopted a goal of establishing performance measures for County programs. The areas of measurement include Public Safety (2 outcome measures and 1 optional output measure) Probation/Corrections, Public Works (2 outcome measures and 1 optional output measure), Public Health (1 outcome measure and 1 optional output measure) Social Services (2 outcome measures), Taxation, Elections, Veterans (1 output measure), Parks and Library.

The Office of the State Auditor has authorized replacement of the measurement standards that are not applicable to Winona County with measures that are more applicable, specifically measures related to Parks and Libraries. The Winona County Board elected to participate in the state adopted performance measures program and will abide by all reporting requirements.

The County Administrator is directed to select and report to the State Auditor the outcome and/or output measures, and establish more applicable measures for the Parks area based goals and objectives from the recent strategic planning process of the Environment and Natural Resources Committee, and establish a more applicable measure related to education/culture in lieu of the Library measure as identified in the state program.

Public Safety

1. Part I and II Crime Rates: See attachment A

Probation/Corrections

2. Percent of adult offenders with a new felony conviction within 3 years of discharge: See attachment B

Public Works

- Hours to plow complete system during a snow event:
 See attachment C
- Average county pavement condition rating:
 See attachment D

Public Health

Life Expectancy generally and by sex and race:
 See attachment E

Social Services

- 6. Workforce participation rate among MFIP and DWP recipients: See attachment F
- 7. Percentage of children where there is a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months following an intervention:

See attachment G

Taxation

8. Level of assessment ration See attachment H

Elections

9. Accuracy of post-election audit See attachment I

<u>Parks</u>

10. Mission Statement and Goals See attachment J

Library

11. Annual Visit Per 1000 Residents See attachment K

Veterans Service

12. To be determined

MINNESOTA CRIMINAL JUSTICE REPORTING SYSTEM

UCRX-1010 RETURN 'A' PAGE: RUN DATE: 03/12/12 OFFENSES AND CLEARANCES JOBSTREAM: CJRP3201 AGENCY: MN0850000 WINONA PROGRAM: CJRP0019 REPORT PERIOD: JAN - DEC, 2011

POPULATION: 14,171	OFFENSES KNOWN OR REPORTED	CO	FOUNDED MPLAINTS NUMBER	TOTAL ACTUAL OFFENSES	CRIME RATE	CLEARED	OFFENSES BY ARREST NUMBER	PERSONS	BY ARREST UNDER 18NUMBER
MURDER/NON-NEG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RAPE TOTAL	3	0	0	3	21	0	0	0	0
FORCIBLE	3	0	0	3	21	0	0	0	0
ATTEMPTED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROBBERY TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FIREARM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KNIFE/CUTTING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER WEAPON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STRONG-ARM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASSAULT TOTAL	3	0	0	3	21	66	2	0	0
GUN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KNIFE/CUTTING	2	0	0	2	14	100	2	0	0
OTHER WEAPON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HANDS, FEET, ETC	1	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0
BURGLARY TOTAL	27	3	1	26	183	42	11	7	2
FORCED ENTRY	21	4	1	20	141	45	9	10	2
UNLAWFUL ENTRY	4	0	0	4	28	50	2	0	0
ATTEMPTED	2	0	0	2	14	0	0	0	0
LARCENY TOTAL	70	4	3	67	472	10	7	0	0
AUTO THEFT TOTAL	11	0	0	11	77	9	1	0	0
AUTOS	7	0	0	7	49	14	1	0	0
TRUCKS/BUSES	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER VEHICLES	4	Ō	0	4	28	0	0	0	0
ARSON	Ō	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PART 1 TOTAL W/O ARSON	114	3	4	110	776	19	21	1	2
PART 1 TOTAL W/ ARSON.	114	3	4	110	776	19	21	1	2
THE TOTHE WINDOW.		_							
OTHER ASSAULTS	62	0	0	62	437	82	51	3	2
FORGERY/COUNTERFEIT	7	0	0	7	49	28	2	0	0
FRAUD	20	0	0	20	141	40	8	0	0
EMBEZZLEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STOLEN PROPERTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VANDALISM	55	1	1	54	381	18	10	3	2
WEAPONS	6	16	1	5	35	80	4	0	0
PROSTITUTION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	11	9	1	10	70	80	8	0	0
NARCOTICS TOTAL	33	0	0	33	232	78	26	3	1
GAMBLING TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FAMILY/CHILDREN	25	0	0	25	176	92	23	0	0
D.U.I	94	0	0	94	663	100	94	1	1
LIQUOR LAWS	21	0	0	21	148	100	21	4	1
DRUNKENNESS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DISORDERLY	50	0	0	50	352	74	37	0	0
VAGRANCY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER (EX.TRAFFIC)	120	6	8	112	790	84	95	0	1
PART 2 TOTAL	504	2	11	493	3,478	76	379	1	8
GRAND TOTAL	618	2	15	603	4,255	66	400	1	10

TOTAL PERSONS ARRESTED THIS PERIOD FOR ALL OFFENSES EXCEPT TRAFFIC ADULTS 320 JUVENILES 13

Winona County Adult Felons Closed in 2007

Recid_6mos

casetype		Frequency	Percent
Probation	No	99	97.1
	Yes	3	2.9
	Total	102	100.0
Supervised Release	No	53	100.0

Recid_1yr

casetype		Frequency	Percent
Probation	No	98	96.1
	Yes	4	3.9
	Total	102	100.0
Supervised Release	No	53	100.0

Recid_2yrs

casetype			Frequency	Percent
Probation	No	111 11 141	96	94.1
	Yes		6	5.9
	Total		102	100.0
Supervised	No		50	94.3
Release	Yes		3	5.7
i.	Total		53	100.0

Recid_3yrs

casetype	Frequency	Percent		
Probation No	94	92.2		
Yes	8	7.8		
Total	102	100.0		
Supervised No	49	92.5		
Release Yes	4	7.5		
Total	53	100.0		

ATTACHMENT C & D

Winona County Highway Department performance measures information

- Hours to plow complete system during a snow event:
 4 hours
- Average county pavement condition rating:
 3.33 average Pavement Quality Index (PQI) for all County State-Aid Highway and County Highway paved roads based on 2008 information.

Table 3: 2000 and 2007 life expectancy and years behind with 90% confidence intervals for males and females in all US counties.

Table 3. Le	oo and 2007 me expe	Ma	le			F	emale	
tory, suppositive street.	2000 Life expectancy	2000 Years behind	2007 Life expectancy	2007 Years behind	2000 Life expectancy	2000 Years behind	2007 Life expectancy	2007 Years behind
Aitkin	74.7 (73.9, 75.3)	10 (8, 14)	76.2 (75.4, 76.9)	10 (8, 14)	80.3 (79.6) 80.9)	12 (9, 16)	81.6 (80.7, 82.3)	41 12 (9, 17)
Anoka	76.3 (76, 76.6)	3 (2, 4)	78.3 (77.9, 78.6)	3 (1, 4)	81.1 (80.8, 81.5)	8 (6, 9)	82.4 (82, 82.8)	9 (7, 10)
Becker,	74.4 (73.8, 74.8)	12 (10, 14)	75.7 (75, 76.2)	13 (11, 16)	80.9 (80.2, 81.4)	i, 9 (6, 13)	81.2 (80.5, 81.8)	14 (11, 18)
Beltrami	74.2 (73.5, 74.6)	13 (11, 16)	74.6 (73.9, 75.1)	18 (15, 21)	80.5 (79.9, 81)	11 (8, 15)	81 (80.3, 81.6)	15 (12, 19)
Benton	, 📊 [74.9 (74.3, 75.4)	9 (7, 12)	75.4 (74.9, 76)	14 (12, 17)	80.4 (79.8, 81)	12 (9, 15)	80.2 (79.6, 80.8)	20 (16, 23) _{[[i]}
Big Stone	74.7 (74, 75.2)	10 (8, 14)	76.5 (75.7, 77.2)	9 (7, 13)	81 (80.3, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	82.2 (81.3, 82.9)	9 (6, 14)
Blue Earth	14. 175.91(75.3, 76.3)	5 (3, 7)	J 177:4 (76.8, 77.9)	6 (4,18)	81.2 (80.6, 81.7)	7 (5, 11)	81.6 (80.9, 82.2)	12 (9, 16)
Brown	76 (75.3, 76.5)	4 (2, 7)	77.4 (76.6, 78)	6 (4, 9)	81.4 (80.7, 82)	6 (3, 10)	82 (81.2, 82.7)	10 (7, 14)
Carlton	74.5 (73.9, 74.9)	11 (9, 14)	74.9 (74.3, 75.4)	16 (14, 19)	80.6 (80, 81.2)	10 (7, 14)	81 (80.3, 81.7)	15 (12, 19)
Carver	76.9 (76.4, 77.4)	1 (-1, 3)	78.6 (78, 79.1)	1 (-1, 4)	81.7 (81.1, 82.3)	5 (2, 8)	83 (82.3, 83.6)	6 (3, 9)
Cass	74.4 (73.8, 74.9)	12 (9, 15)	75.3 (74.6, 75.9)	14 (12, 18)	80.5 (79.9, 81.1)	11 (8, 15)	81.1 (80.3, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Chippewa	75 (74.4, 75.5)	9 (6, 12)	76.8 (76.2, 77.4)	8 (6, 11)	81.8 (81.1, 82.4)	4 (1, 8)	81.7 (81, 82.4)	11 (8, 15)
Chisago	76 (75,3, 76.4)	5 (3, 7)	76.7 (76, 77.2)	9 (7,11)	80.5 (79.8, 81)	11 (8, 15)	81 (80.2, 81.5)	15 (12, 20)
Clay	75.8 (75.3, 76.3)	5 (3, 8)	77.3 (76.7, 77.9)	7 (5, 9)	81.6 (80.9, 82.2)	5 (3, 9)	82.6 (81.9, 83.3)	8 (4, 11)
Clearwater	74.4 (73.7, 74.9)	12 (9, 15)	74.9 (74.2, 75.4)	16 (14, 20)	80.3 (79.7, 80.9)	12 (9, 16)	, 81 (80.2, 81.7)	15 (12, 20)
Cook	75.7 (74.9, 76.3)	6 (3, 9)	76.3 (75.5, 76.9)	10 (8, 13)	80.4 (79.7, 81)	12 (8, 16)	81.7 (80.8, 82.5)	12 (8, 16)
Cottonwoo	75.1 (74.4, 75.6)	8 (6, 12)	76.3 (75.6, 76.9)	10 (8, 13)	80.9 (80.3, 81.6)	9 (5, 12)	81.8 (81, 82.5)	11 (8, 15)
Crow Wing	76 (75.4, 76.5)	4 (3, 7)	77.3 (76.7, 77.9)	6 (5, 9)	81.4 (80.8, 82)	6 (4, 9)	81.8 (81.2, 82.3)	11 (9, 14)
Dakota	77.6 (77.2, 77.9)	-1 (₁ 3, 0)	78.7 (78.4, 79)	1 (-1, 2)	81.7 (81.3, 82)	5 (3, 7)	81.7 (81.3, 82)	12 (10, 13)
Dodge	75.9 (75.2, 76.5)	5 (2, 8)	77.5 (76.7, 78.3)	6 (3, 9)	81 (80.3, 81.8)	8 (4, 13)	82.3 (81.3, 83.2)	9 (5, 14)
Douglas	76.2 (75.6, 76.7)	[[[]] [[]] 4 (2, 6) [[] [[]	i [78 (77.3, 78.6)	, 4 (1, 7)	81.5 (80.9, 82.1)	6 (3, 9)	82.8 (82, 83.5)	7 (4,10)
Faribault	75.5 (74.8, 76.1)	6 (4, 10)	76 (75.3, 76.6)	11 (9, 14)	80.5 (79.8, 81)	11 (8, 15)	81.8 (81, 82.5)	11 (8, 15)
Fillmore	75.1 (74.4, 75.6)	9 (6, 12)	76.4 (75.7, 77)	10 (8, 13)	81.3 (80.6, 81.9)	6 (4, 10)	82 (81.2, 82.7)	10 (7, 14)
Freeborn	75.6 (75.1, 76.2)	6 (4, 9)	76.6 (76, 77.2)	9 (7, 11)	80.9 (80.3, 81.5)	9 (6, 12)	81.7 (81, 82.3)	12 (9, 15)
Goodhue	76 (75.4) 76.4)	4 (3, 7)	[77.7 (77, 78.2)	M 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 (3, 8) 1 1 1 1 1	80.8 (80.3, 81.3)		82 (81.3, 82.5)	10 (8, 14)
Grant	75.4 (74.9, 75.7)	7 (5, 10)	76.5 (76, 77)	9 (8, 11)	81.4 (80.9, 81.8)	6 (4, 9)	82.4 (81.8, 82.9)	9 (6, 11)
Hennepin	76.3 (76.2, 76.5)	3 (2, 4)	78.1 (78, 78.3)	3 (3, 4)	81.2 (81.1, 81.4)	7 (6, 8)	82.4 (82.2, 82.6)	9 (8, 9)
Houston	75.8 (75.1, 76.3)	5 (3, 9)	76.9 (76.1, 77.6)	8 (6, 11)	81.2 (80.5, 81.9)	7 (4, 11)	81.8 (81, 82.6)	11 (8, 15)
Hubbard	74.5 (73.8, 75)	i 👫 11 (9, 14)	76 (75.3, 76.6)	11 (9, 14)	79.9 (79.3, 80.5)	14 (11, 18)	81.5 (80,7, 82.2)	SHI DERBRADISE CONTROLOGICA CONTRACTOR CONTROLOGICA CONTR
Isanti	75.8 (75.2, 76.4)	5 (3, 8)	76.7 (76.1, 77.3)	9 (7, 11)	81.2 (80.6, 81.9)	7 (4, 11)	81.7 (81, 82.5)	12 (8, 15)
Itasca	175 (74.4, 75.5)	9 (7, 12)	76 (75.4, 76.5)		80.3 (79.7, 80.8)	MARIN SOCIAL PROPERTIES CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	81.1 (80/4, 81.7)	, F _j 15 (12, 18) W F _j
Jackson	75.9 (75.2, 76.3)	5 (3, 8)	77.5 (76.8, 78.1)	6 (4, 8)	81.7 (81, 82.3)	5 (2, 8)	82.9 (82, 83.6)	7 (3, 10)
Kanabec	74.5 (73.7, 75.2)	11 (8, 15)	76.2 (75.3, 77)	11 (8, 15)	80.2 (79.4, 80.9)	13 (9, 17)	80.7 (79.8, 81.6)	17 (12, 22)
Kandiyohi	76.1 (75.5, 76.5)	4 (2, 7)	77.2 (76.5, 77.7)	7 (5, 9)	81.2 (80.6, 81.8)	7 (4, 10)	82.5 (81.8, 83.2)	8 (5, 11)
Kittson	74.8 (73.9, 75.4)	10 (7, 14) 📖	75.3 (74.5, 75.9)	15 (12, 18)	80.6 (79.9, 81.3)	11 (7, 15)	82.4 (81.4, 83.3)	9 (5, 13)

Koochichinį	74.5 (73.8, 75)	11 (9, 15)	75.3 (74.5, 75.9)	15 (12, 18)	79.9 (79.2, 80.4)	15 (12, 18)	81.1 (80.3, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Lac Qui Par	74.7 (74, 75.2)	10 (8, 14)	76.5 (75.7, 77.2)	9 (7, 13)	81 (80.3, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	82.2 (81.3, 82.9)	9 (6, 14)
Lake	75.7 (74.9, 76.3)	6 (3, 9)	76.3 (75.5, 76.9)	10 (8, 13)	80.4 (79.7, 81)	12 (8, 16)	81.7 (80.8, 82.5)	12 (8, 16)
Lake Of Wo	74.5 (73.8, 75)	11 (9, 15)	75.3 (74.5, 75.9)	15 (12, 18)	79.9 (79.2, 80.4)	15 (12, 18)	81.1 (80.3, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Le Sueur	75.9 (75.1, 76.4)	5 (3, 8)	77.6 (76.8, 78.3)	5 (3, 8)	81.3 (80.6, 81.9)	7 (4, 10)	81.9 (81.1, 82.7)	11 (7, 15)
Lincoln	74.9 (74.2, 75.5)	9 (6, 13)	75.1 (74.3, 75.8)	15 (12, 19)	80.4 (79.8, 81.1)	11 (8, 15)	81.8 (81, 82.6)	11 (8, 15)
Lyon	75.5 (74.8, 76)	7 (4, 10)	76.9 (76.1, 77.5)	8 (6, 11)	81 (80.3, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	81.6 (80.8, 82.3)	12 (9, 17)
Mahnomen	74.4 (73.7, 74.9)	12 (9, 15)	74.9 (74.2, 75.4)	16 (14, 20)	80.3 (79.7, 80.9)	12 (9, 16)	81 (80.2, 81.7)	15 (12, 20)
Marshall	74.8 (73.9, 75.4)	10 (7, 14)	75.3 (74.5, 75.9)	15 (12, 18)	80.6 (79.9, 81.3)	11 (7, 15)	82.4 (81.4, 83.3)	9 (5, 13)
Martin	75.5 (74.8, 76)	6 (4, 10)	76.5 (75.8, 77.1)	9 (7, 12)	81.1 (80.4, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	82.8 (82, 83.6)	7 (3, 11)
McLeod	76 (75.3, 76.5)	4 (2, 7)	77.3 (76.6, 77.8)	7 (5, 9)	81.7 (81, 82.3)	5 (2, 8)	82.4 (81.7, 83.1)	8 (5, 12)
Meeker	75 (74,3, 75.6)	9 (6, 12)	76.4 (75.6, 77)	10 (8, 13)	81 (80.2, 81.6)	8 (5, 13)	80.8 (80.1, 81.5)	16 (13, 21)
Mille Lacs	74.7 (74, 75.3)	10 (8, 13)	75.1 (74.4, 75.6)	16 (13, 19)	80.1 (79.5, 80.7)	13 (10, 17)	80.6 (79.8, 81.3)	18 (14, 22)
Morrison	74.9 (74.2, 75.4)	10 (7, 13)	75 (74.4, 75.5)	16 (14, 19)	81.1 (80.4, 81.7)	8 (5, 12)	81.1 (80.3, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Mower	75.8 (75.2, 76.2)	5 (3, 8)	77.6 (76.9, 78.2)	5 (3, 8)	81.8 (81.1, 82.3)	4 (2, 8)	82.6 (81.8, 83.2)	8 (5, 11)
Murray	75.6 (74.9, 76.1)	6 (4, 10)	75.8 (75.1, 76.4)	12 (10, 15)	81.2 (80.5, 81.8)	7 (4, 11)	82.1 (81.2, 82.8)	10 (7, 14)
Nicollet	77 (76.3, 77.6)	1 (-1, 3)	78 (77.3, 78.7)	4 (1, 7)	81.2 (80.5, 81.8)	7 (4, 11)	82.1 (81.3, 82.8)	10 (7, 14)
Nobles	75.9 (75.2, 76.3)	5 (3, 8)	77.5 (76.8, 78.1)	6 (4, 8)	81.7 (81, 82.3)	5 (2, 8)	82.9 (82, 83.6)	7 (3, 10)
Norman	74.4 (73.8, 74.8)	12 (10, 14)	75.7 (75, 76.2)	13 (11, 16)	80.9 (80.2, 81.4)	9 (6, 13)	81.2 (80.5, 81.8)	14 (11, 18)
Olmsted	77 (76.6, 77.4)	0 (-1, 2)	78.3 (77.9, 78.7)	3 (1, 5)	82.5 (82, 83)	1 (-1, 3)	83.7 (83.1, 84.1)	RREIDINGSS-S-NSBARTHROUGHUND LINGEN WARRENGEN TO
Otter Tail	75.4 (74.9, 75.7)	7 (5, 10)	76.5 (76, 77)	9 (8, 11)	81.4 (80.9, 81.8)	6 (4, 9)	82.4 (81.8, 82.9)	9 (6, 11)
Pennington	74.4 (73.7, 74.9)	12 (9, 15)	74.9 (74.2, 75.4)	16 (14, 20)	80.3 (79.7, 80.9)	12 (9, 16)	81 (80.2, 81.7)	15 (12, 20)
Pine	73.9 (73.3, 74.4)	14 (12, 17)	75.3 (74.6, 75.9)	14 (12, 18)	80.2 (79.5, 80.8)	13 (9, 17)	80.1 (79.4, 80.9)	20 (16, 24)
Pipestone	74.9 (74.2, 75.5)	9 (6, 13)	75.1 (74.3, 75.8)	15 (12, 19)	80.4 (79.8, 81.1)	11 (8, 15)	81.8 (81, 82.6)	11 (8, 15)
Polk	74.1 (73.6, 74.6)	13 (11, 15)	75.3 (74.8, 75.8)	14 (12, 17)	80.9 (80.3, 81.4)	9 (6, 12)	81.4 (80.7, 82.1)	13 (10, 17)
Pope	75.5 (74.8, 76)	7 (4, 10)	77.8 (77, 78.4)	5 (2, 8)	81.1 (80.4, 81.7)	8 (5, 11)	81.9 (81.1, 82.7)	11 (7, 15)
Ramsey	75.4 (75.1, 75.6)	7 (6, 8)	77.4 (77.2, 77.7)	6 (5, 7)	80.1 (79.9, 80.4)	13 (12, 14)	82.5 (82.2, 82.7)	8 (7, 9)
Red Lake	74.1 (73.6, 74.6)	13 (11, 15)	75.3 (74.8, 75.8)	14 (12, 17)	80.9 (80.3, 81.4)	9 (6, 12)	81.4 (80.7, 82.1)	13 (10, 17)
Redwood	74.8 (74.1, 75.3)	10 (7, 13)	76 (75.2, 76.6)	11 (9, 15)	81.4 (80.7, 82)	6 (3, 10)	81.1 (80.4, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Renville	74.9 (74.2, 75.4)	9 (7, 13)	76.2 (75.5, 76.8)	10 (8, 14)	80.7 (80, 81.4)	#568 in medical deficiency bittle in the performance of the contract of the co	80.9 (80, 81.6)	16 (12, 21)
Rice	76.1 (75.5, 76.5)	4 (3, 6)	77.3 (76.7, 77.7)	7 (5, 9)	81.7 (81.1, 82.2)	5 (2, 8)	82 (81.4, 82.6)	10 (8, 13)
Rock	75.6 (74.9, 76.1)	6 (4, 10)	75.8 (75.1, 76.4)	12 (10, 15)	81.2 (80.5, 81.8)	7 (4, 11)	82.1 (81.2, 82.8)	10 (7, 14)
Roseau	74.6 (73.9, 75.2)	11 (8, 14)	75.9 (75.1, 76.5)	12 (9, 15)	80.9 (80.1, 81.6)	9 (5, 13)	81.3 (80.5, 82.1)	14 (10, 18)
Scott	76.7 (76.1, 77.1)	minute of the control	78.3 (77.7, 78.7)	3 (1, 5)	81.4 (80.8, 82)	6 (3, 9)	82.4 (81.7, 83.1)	8 (6, 12)
Sherburne	76 (75.4, 76.4)	4 (3, 7)	77.3 (76.8, 77.8)	7 (5, 8)	80.5 (79.9, 81)	11 (8, 15)	80.8 (80.2, 81.3)	16 (14, 20)
Sibley	74.9 (74.1, 75.4)	10 (7, 13)	76.6 (75.8, 77.3)	9 (7, 12)	80.9 (80.2, 81.7)	9 (5, 13)	81.5 (80.6, 82.4)	12 (9, 18)
St. Louis	74.8 (74.5, 75.1)	10 (8, 11)	75.8 (75.5, 76.1)	12 (11, 13)	80.1 (79.8, 80.4)	14 (12, 15)	80.9 (80.6, 81.3)	16 (14, 18)
Stearns	76.5 (76.1, 76.9)	1 2 (1, 4)	78.8 (78.3, 79.2)	0 (-2, 3)	82.8 (82.2, 83.2)	0 (-2, 2)	83.9 (83.3, 84.5)	1 (-3, 4)
Steele	76.3 (75.7, 76.9)	3 (1, 6)	77.7 (77, 78.3)	5 (3, 8)	81 (80.4, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	82.5 (81.7, 83.2)	8 (5, 12)

Stevens	75.5 (74.8, 76)	7 (4, 10)	77.8 (77, 78.4)	5 (2, 8)	81.1 (80.4, 81.7)	8 (5, 11)	81.9 (81.1, 82.7)	11 (7, 15)
Swift	75 (74.4, 75.5)	9 (6, 12)	76.8 (76.2, 77.4)	8 (6, 11)	81.8 (81.1, 82.4)	4 (1, 8)	81.7 (81, 82.4)	11 (8, 15)
Todd	74.9 (74.3, 75.4)	9 (7, 12)	75.7 (75, 76.3)	12 (10, 16)	80.4 (79.8, 81)	11 (8, 15)	81.1 (80.3, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)
Traverse	74.7 (74, 75.2)	10 (8, 14)	76.5 (75.7, 77.2)	9 (7, 13)	81 (80.3, 81.6)	8 (5, 12)	82.2 (81.3, 82.9)	9 (6, 14)
Wabasha	75.8 (75.1, 76.3)	5 (3) 8)	77.1 (76.3, 77.7)	7 (5, 10)	81.3 (80.5, 81.9)	1 (4, 11)	81.8 (80.9, 82.6)	11 (8, 16)
Wadena	74.5 (73.8, 75)	11 (9, 14)	76 (75.3, 76.6)	11 (9, 14)	79.9 (79.3, 80.5)	14 (11, 18)	81.5 (80.7, 82.2)	13 (10, 17)
Waseca	75.4 (74.6, 75.9)	7 (5, 11)	77.5 (76.7, 78.3)	6 (3, 9)	81.1 (80.4, 81.9)	ែក 8 (4, 12) _ក	81.3 (80.5, 82.1)	14 (10, 18)
Washingtor	77.5 (77.1 <i>,</i> 77.9)	-1 (-2, 0)	78.8 (78.4, 79.1)	0 (-2, 2)	81.6 (81.2, 82)	5 (3, 7)	82 (81.6, 82.4)	10 (8, 12)
Watonwan	75.1 (74.4, 75.6)		76.3 (75.6, 76.9)	10 (8, 13)	80.9 (80.3, 81.6)	9 (5, 12)		11 (8, 15)
Wilkin	75.4 (74.9, 75.7)	7 (5, 10)	76.5 (76, 77)	9 (8, 11)	81.4 (80.9, 81.8)	6 (4, 9)	82.4 (81.8, 82.9)	9 (6, 11)
Winona	75.3 (74.7, 75.7)	1 8 (5, 10)	77.3 (76.7, 77.9)	7 (4,9)	81.2 (80.6, 81.8)	7 (4, 10)	82.2 (81.5, 82.9)	10 (7, 13)
Wright	76 (75.5, 76.4)	4 (3, 6)	77.8 (77.3, 78.2)	5 (3, 7)	81 (80.4, 81.5)	9 (6, 12)	82.1 (81.4, 82.7)	10 (7, 13)
Yell: Medic	74.8 (74.1, 75.3)	10 (7, 13)	76 (75.2, 76.6)	11 (9, 15)	81.4 (80.7, 82)	6 (3, 10)	81.1 (80.4, 81.8)	15 (11, 19)

Source:

Falling behind: life expectancy in US counties from 2000 to 2007 in an $\,$

international context

Population Health Metrics 2011, 9:16 doi:10.1186/1478-7954-9-16

Sandeep C Kulkarni (sandeep.c.kulkarni@gmail.com)

Alison Levin-Rector (aklr@uw.edu)

Majid Ezzati (majid.ezzati@imperial.ac.uk)

Christopher JL Murray (cjlm@u.washington.edu)

ISSN 1478-7954

Article type Research

Submission date 9 December 2010

Acceptance date 15 June 2011

Publication date 15 June 2011

Article URL http://www.pophealthmetrics.com/content/9/1/16

This peer-reviewed article was published immediately upon acceptance. It can be downloaded, printed and distributed freely for any purposes (see copyright notice below).

Articles in PHM are listed in PubMed and archived at PubMed Central.

For information about publishing your research in PHM or any BioMed Central journal, go to

http://www.pophealthmetrics.com/info/instructions/

For information about other BioMed Central publications go to

http://www.biomedcentral.com/

Region 10 County Performance on Key Children and Family Services Measures (Draft 5/3/12 pm)

,						•							
													National/Stat
	Dodge	Fillmore	Freeborn	Goodhue	Houston	Mower	Olmsted	Rice	Steele	Wabasha	Waseca	Winona	Standard
CHILD SUPPORT FFY 2011	·····	·····			······	,	·····			·	·		
Paternity ¹	112%	107%	102%	108%	105%	96%	105%	105%	107%	102%	106%	99%	
Order Establishment ¹	94%	93%	92%	93%	94%	81%	84%	81%	92%	89%	92%	91%	
Collections on Current ¹	80%	80%	69%	74%	77%	71%	77%	73%	73%	79%	77%	74%	•
Collections on Arrears ¹	82%	81%	72%	74%	73%	74%	75%	76%	76%	77%	80%	74%	
Cost Effectiveness ²	\$7.74	\$6,20	\$6.51	\$3.99	\$6.39	\$5.54	\$4.93	\$5.26	\$6.53	\$6.19	\$5.09	\$4.86	
Federal Incentives ³	\$64,800	\$50,400	\$103,200	\$114,000	\$45,600	\$135,600	\$337,200	\$123,600	\$109,200	\$46,800	\$60,000	\$115,200	•
CASH AND FOOD ASSISTANCE													
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) 4	T	r		I	<u> </u>	T	r		r	r	г		
MN Program Access Index CY 2009 (MN-PAI) 5	65.3%	36.7%	43.8%	41.9%	-	42.2%	61.4%	41.0%	55.0%	37.9%	-	28.0%	•
Expedited SNAP Timeliness CY 2011 ⁶													
Within 1 Business Day	65%	<u> </u>		63%	41%	 		50%	76%	65%	<u> </u>		
Within 5, Business Days	78%	92%	84%	80%	59%			66%	90%	81%			
Within 7 Calendar Days	78%	92%	84%	81%	60%	82%	77%	66%	91%	82%	98%	89%	
Application Processing Timeliness CY 2010 ⁷	88%	91%	87%	79%	77%	80%	82%	68%	82%	81%	95%	82%	
45													
SNAP Quality Control ^{4.5}		,							·	·	·	,	
Payment Accuracy QC FFY 2011	66.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	85.8%	100.0%		100.0%	97.6%	100.0%			
Negative Actions QC FFY 2011	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		88.9%	94.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
earth Thomas Value Calif Command Indian (C. CI)													•
MFIP Three-Year Self-Support Index (S-SI) Three-Year MFIP S-SI, Jul-Sep 2008 Cohort ⁸													
3-Year S-SI Jul-Sep 2011	72.7%	86.3%	80.5%	71.6%	79.0%	75.6%	72.0%	75.8%	68.6%	84.2%	76.0%	C2 10/	
Above, Within or Below Expected Range	Within	Within	Above	Within	79.0% Within		ł	Within	Below	Above	ļ	63.1% Below	
Above, Within or Below Expected Kange	VVICINIII	VVICINII	Above	VVICIIII	VVICIIII	VVICIIII	Below	VVICIIIII	Below	Above	j vvitnin	Below	
MFIP Work Participation Rate (WPR)												- / .	• •
Annualized WPR for Apr 2010-Mar 2011 9	48.7%	52.9%	47.6%	44.0%	36.4%	43.1%	47.4%	40.4%	35.6%	43.6%	54.5%	30.0%	\5 q\ 00%
Eligible for 2012 Performance-based Funds	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	. No	- /-
CHILD CARE													
Percent of CY 2011 BSF dollars spent ¹⁰	98.3%	86.3%	104.2%	76.2%	100.8%	61.1%	88.4%	116.2%	85.0%	72.8%	93.7%	58.5%	
Families on the BSF Waiting List (Dec 2011)	1 30.570	37	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		!	72.070	ļ	ļI	
ranning on the Bar Walting List (Dec 2011)			1		I	140		J 31	1 133		1		

A dash (-) represents that a county had no data in either the numerator or denominator for the given measure. A Zero percentage indicates that there is data in the numerator only.

Footnotes:

¹80% Maximizes the incentive. ² \$5 Maximizes the incentive. ³ The federal incentives are estimates until final settlement. ⁴ The SNAP MN-PAI is a measure of SNAP participation that is designed to closely mirror the USDA's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) Program Access Index (PAI). It is not the exact measure because county-specific data are not available in the 1-year American Community Survey (ACS) so the 3-year ACS is used to determine the denominator of 125 percent of the Federal Poverty Guideline (FPG). The numerator is the monthly average number of SNAP-eligible people in the county. 4.5 SNAP QC payment accuracy rates are based on a statewide sampling plan for statistical purposes. County rates while indictative are not intended as a statisically valid sampling. Negative Actions QC review evaluauation for accuracy includes all terminations, denials and suspensions. National SNAP data is not final. FFY 2011 final regressed data will be available in 07/2012 and FFY 2012 in 07/2013. 5 ACS data are not available for conties with populations less than 20.000. 6 The Expedited SNAP Timeliness measure is the difference between the application date and the benefit issuance date for SNAP applications that receive expedited service. Cases receiving expedited benefits are those with less than \$150 in monthly gross income and \$100 or less in liquid assets, destitute migrant farmworkers with less than \$100 in liquid assets, and households whose monthly housing costs exceed their income. Previous to the 2010-2011 Minnesota Legislative session, these cases had to be processed within one business day. Now they must be process within 5 business days according to state law and within 7 calendar days according to the FNS. DHS encourages counties to process these within one day. Holidays and weekends are excepted when counting business days. ⁷ The Application Timeliness measure looks at the difference between the application date and the first issuance for all cash and food assistance programs. Each program/application combination was counted separately. For example, a case approved for both General Assistance and SNAP would be counted once for each program and its corresponding issuance. Expedited SNAP was timely if processed in one day; SNAP, DWP, MFIP, GA, and RCA were timely if within 30 days; and MSA and GRH were timely within 60 days. Holidays and weekends are accounted for in the one-day Expedited SNAP measure. 8 The Self-Support Index is an indicator of program success for adults who were eligible for MFIP or DWP in a baseline quarter three years before the measurement quarter. Most of these adults are counted as successful if they were either working 30 hours or more per week or if they were no longer receiving an MFIP or DWP cash payment during each month of the measurement quarter. Those who are off having reached the 60-month time limit or 100 percent sanction must have reported 30 hours per week of employment immediately before exiting MFIP/DWP or become eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) to be counted as successful. These data are pulled from the DHS Management Indicators Report, published quarterly on the DHS website. ⁹ This measure identifies the percentage of federal TANF cases that were fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities from April 2010 to March 2011, per federal requirements. The annualized WPR is published in the DHS Management Indicators Report. 10 The CY 2011 percentage spent is calculated by using the direct services allocation and the direct servcies earnings. Basic Sliding Fee calendar year 2011 Direct Services Allocation after carry-forward from calendar year 2010 was added. Basic Sliding Fee calendar year 2011 Direct Services Earnings is the total calendar year 2011 expenditures after the county match has been deducted. For counties that spent between 90% and 100% of their allocation, the unspent portion of their allocation is added to the next year's allocation.

ATTACHMENT G

	Dodge	Fillmore	Freeborn	Goodhue	Houston	Mower	Olmsted	Rice	Steele	Wabasha	Waseca	Winona	National/S Standar	
CHILD WELFARE CY 2010 (CY11 Annual available soon)														
Family investigation with substantial child endangerment allegedContact made within 24 hours	100.0%	33.3%	82.4%	46.2%	100.0%	81.5%	50.0%	73.1%	71.4%	81.8%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
Family investigation without substantial child endangermentContact made within five days	N/A	N/A	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	81.8%	N/A	94.4%	90.9%	N/A	100.0%	83.0%	100.0%	
Family Assessment Contact made within 5 days	82.6%	65.7%	88.2%	63.9%	81.0%	82.8%	79.6%	86.7%	80.7%	80.9%	96.6%	83.9%	100.0%	
Absence of repeat maltreatment Percentage of children who do not experience repeat maltreatment within six months	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	N/A	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	70.6%	94.6%	Û
Time to reunification Percentage of children who leave foster care to return to their family within 12 mths	68.8%	100.0%	93.5%	95.2%	46.2%	71.4%	91.4%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	84.6%	75.2%	d
Rate of relative care — Percentage of children who are in relatives foster family homes or pre-adoptive homes compared to children in all family foster care or pre-adoptive homes	30.4%	33.3%	16.7%	29.6%	9.3%	15.2%	42.3%	5 1. 9%	14.3%	11.1%	54.5%	25.7%	45.0%	û
Foster care re-entry Percentage of children who leave foster care and re-enter within 12 months	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	18.8%	7.1%	19.0%	22.9%	0.0%	42.9%	30.8%	20.0%	22.9%	9.9%	4
Aging out of foster care Percentage of children who reached their 18th birthday while in foster care, who were in foster care for one year or longer	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	58.3%	75.0%	60.0%	100.0%	N/A	100.0%	70.0%	₽.
Placement stability Percentage of children who have two or fewer placement settings when they are in foster care for less than 12 months	76.2%	100.0%	90.2%	80.6%	. 88.2%	88.0%	92.0%	93.3%	94.7%	92.0%	100.0%	91.8%	86,0%	ŧ
Timeliness to adoption Percentage of children who achieve adoption within 24 months from their most recent entry into foster care	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	35.3%	54.5%	100.0%	N/A	75.0%	100.0%	36.6%	û
Monthly caseworker visits Percentage of children in foster care who had face-to-face contact or visit from their caseworker each and every full month in care	28.6%	76.9%	66.0%	24.6%	36.6%	58.5%	78.6%	65.2%	82.5%	70.0%	80.0%	31.9%	90.0%	đ
Rate of entry into foster care Rate of children less than age 18 who enter out-of-home care for the first time in their lives as compared to per 1000 in the Minnesota child population	2.2	0.8	4.8	5.7	3.2	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.3	4.6	3.3	3.0	3.6	\$

REVISED 2010 ASSESSMENT SALES RATIO STUDY USED TO EVALUATE THE 2011 ASSESSMENT SALES ANALYSIS FOR THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION WITH ADJUSTMENTS FOR TIME AND TERMS TO JANUARY 2010 FOR ALL SALES

COUNTYWIDE RATIOS BY PROPERTY TYPE

TWELVE MONTH STUDY

TWELVE MONTH STUDY - BASED ON SALES FROM OCTOBER 2009 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2010:

ALL SALES ARE COMPARED TO 2010 ASSESSMENT YEAR MARKET VALUES USED FOR TAXES PAYABLE IN 2011

SOURCE: SALECURR.REVISEDXX030111 AS OF MAR 1, 2011

CO=85 COUNTY NAME=WINONA

PT	PROPERTY TYPE	СО	COUNTY NAME	MEAN RATIO	X MEDIAN RATIO	AGGREGATE RATIO	UNTRIMMED COEFF. OF DISPERSION	PRICE RELATED DIFFERENTIAL	NUMBER OF SALES	SALES WITH TIME TRENDS	ADDITIONAL GOOD SALES WITH NO VALUE
1	RESIDENTIAL	85	WINONA	99.3	96.9	96.6	12.4	1.03	305	210	0
2	APARTMENT	85	WINONA	110.9	110.9	110.9	0.0	1.00	1	0	0
3	SEASONAL/RECREATIONAL	85	WINONA	100.1	100.1	100.1	0.0	1.00	1	0	0
21	RESIDENTIAL LAND	85	WINONA	106.7	100.8	79.8	35.5	1.34	14	0	0
22	APARTMENT LAND	85	WINONA	40.8	40.8	40.8	0.0	1.00	1	0	0
31	2A LAND WITH BUILDINGS (34.5	85	WINONA	94.7	98.5	90.2	4.1	1.05	3	0	0
32	2A BARE LAND (34.5 OR MORE AC	85	WINONA	79.2	79.2	78.1	10.6	1.01	2	0	0
34	2B BARE LAND (34.5 OR MORE AC	85	WINONA	94.7	94.7	95.4	1.8	0.99	2	0	0
37	2A BARE LAND (LESS THAN 34.5	85	WINONA	34.3	34.3	34.3	0.0	1.00	1	0	0
39	2B RURAL VACANT LAND - BARE L	85	WINONA	123.7	123.7	94.9	42.1	1.30	4	0	0
41	RESIDENTIAL & SEASONAL	85	WINONA	99.3	97.0	96.6	12.4	1.03	306	210	0
44	2A/2B/2C BARE LAND ONLY (MORE	85	WINONA	94.2	93.0	94.1	17.8	1.00	9	0	0
45	ALL 2A/2B (MORE THAN 34.5 ACR	85	WINONA	94.9	96.4	94.1	12.1	1.01	15	0	0
46	COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL	85	WINONA	111.8	97.7	108.0	30.6	1.04	8	1	0
47	MIXED 2A/2B LAND WITH BUILDIN	85	WINONA	97.5	98.7	96.8	2.2	1.01	3	0	0
48	MIXED 2A/2B BARE LAND (34.5 0	85	WINONA	99.9	116.9	97.0	16.1	1.03	5	0	0

ATTACHMENT I

Summary of WINONA County Totals Tuesday, November 2, 2010 State General Election

Number of persons registered as of 7 a.m.	29307
Number of persons registered on Election Day	2435
Number of accepted regular, armed forces and temporarily overseas absentee ballots	829
Number of federal office only absentee ballots	0
Number of presidential absentee ballots	0
Total number of persons voting	18455

Winona County Parks and Environment Advisory Committee

Vision and Mission Statements

VISION:

The Committee shall serve as a public participant and advisor to the Winona County community, County Board, and staff for the sustainable use and stewardship of Winona County's natural resources.

MISSION:

- Enhance public participation in protecting Winona County's natural resources.
- Educate our citizens on the value of making best use of our natural resources, and protecting them for future generations.
- Work to maintain, improve the quality and promote the wise conservation of natural resources.
- Optimize the use of county-owned land for educational, recreational and economic development opportunities, consistent with the committee's vision.
- Enhance and develop community partnerships to accomplish the vision.
- Continue policy, operational, and educational efforts related to the proper handling of waste streams, including support of existing policies such as reduce, reuse, recycle and Zero Waste.
- Promote accountability and responsibility for actions that are contrary to the stewardship of our natural resources.

Goals and Action Steps

Short -Term Goals and Timelines

- Support and publicize new MPCA efforts to stop illegal burning/burying/disposal of solid waste; invite an MPCA representative to a future meeting *Immediate and on-going*
- Support Renewable Energy Opportunities Immediate and on-going
- Support Chapter 12 of the Zoning Ordinance which provides greater opportunities for wind and solar energy development *Immediate and on-going*
- Support the community garden/greenhouse project to utilize methane gas from the closed landfill that is currently being flared – *Immediate and on-going*
- Name Community Garden/Greenhouse Project/Landfill property September, 2011

- Establish name for Committee September, 2011
- Gather citizen input on 10-Year Solid Waste Management Plan 4th Quarter, 2011
- 100% Recycling Staff provide appropriate measurement options 4th Quarter, 2011
- Maximize/Develop Relationships with Educational Institutions; providing options;
 Providing options for possible seminars, symposia 4th quarter, 2011
- Optimize Use of landfill methane as financially feasible research and present options, along with grant opportunity review - 1st quarter, 2012
- Develop Issue Paper identifying options for recycling of silage bags, including cost estimates and implementation timelines 1^{st} quarter, 2012
- Strategic Plan for Water Quality May, 2011. **COMPLETED**

Mid-Term Goals and Timelines

- Develop and Present Quarterly Educational Programs Immediate and on-going
- Adopt Land Management Plans for County Parks
 - Hwy 43 Park adopt plan in 2012
 - Apple Blossom adopt plan in 2013
 - Farmers Park adopt plan in 2014
- Work to Increase Use of County Parks
 - Develop method to measure number of visitors October, 2011
 - Establish baseline number of visitors throughout 2012
- Identify Viable Food Compost Options Develop Issue Paper identifying options with cost estimates and options for implementation – 4th quarter, 2012

Long Term Goals and Timelines

• Fully Utilize County Parks in a Sustainable Way – See Park Land Management Plans – On-going

Winona County Annual Visit per 1,000 Residents			
Location	Annual Visit	County Population	
St Charles Library	25,844		
Winona Library	148,912		
Total Visits	174,756	51,461	
Visits per 1,000 Residents			3395.9