

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto
State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT FOR

SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the State Auditor's Office is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.


The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006



Management and Compliance Report

**Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota**

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	Schedule 1	1
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>		5
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133		8
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	Schedule 2	11

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 1

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

- A. Our report expresses unqualified opinions on the basic financial statements of Scott County.
- B. Significant deficiencies in internal control were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements and are reported in the "Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*." None were material weaknesses.
- C. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Scott County were disclosed during the audit.
- D. No matters involving internal control over compliance relating to the audit of the major federal award programs were reported in the "Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133."
- E. The Auditor's Report on Compliance for the major federal award programs for Scott County expresses an unqualified opinion.
- F. No findings were disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.
- G. The major programs are:

Help America Vote Act Requirements	CFDA #90.401
Social Services Block Grant Title XX	CFDA #93.667
Cooperating Technical Partners	CFDA #97.045
Homeland Security Grant Program	CFDA #97.067
- H. The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- I. Scott County was determined to be a low-risk auditee.

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

ITEMS ARISING THIS YEAR

06-1 Cash at Sheriff's Office

The Scott County's Sheriff's Office has been unable to successfully identify the total cash balance as stated on ALCATRAZ, its inmate account tracking system. ALCATRAZ is accounting software purchased from T. W. Vending. Since the total amount of cash cannot be identified, the Sheriff's Office is not able to reconcile the amount in the checking account to the bank statement.

Without bank reconciliations, the County has no assurance that the book balance is accurately stated. Bank reconciliations would provide evidence that all funds received and disbursed have been correctly processed through the bank account.

We recommend that the Sheriff's Office contact the vendor to get the support or training necessary to identify the ALCATRAZ cash balance in order to reconcile the checking account to the bank statement.

Client's Response:

We have incurred issues with working with our vendor in resolving this issue. However, we remain committed to improving our current internal control structure for the ALCATRAZ system. We are beginning the process of detailing our current process and identifying the system deficiencies. We plan to send four employees to training on the ALCATRAZ system to gain a better understanding of the system. The vendor has announced an upgrade to the ALCATRAZ software: we will verify if this upgrade is timely and if it will eliminate the system deficiencies we document from our review.

If we determine there are still system deficiencies after additional training and the potential improvement from the software upgrade, we will seek alternative system solutions for inmate account tracking.

While going through the process of detailing the system deficiencies and training, we will have discussions with other counties that currently utilize this system. It is our understanding that other counties have this same issue: if the other metro counties are still experiencing this same issue we will look to leverage our combined resources to find a solution from the vendor.

06-2 Prior Period Adjustment

A “control deficiency” exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions to prevent or detect misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis. One indication of a control deficiency that typically is considered significant is restatement of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of a material misstatement. The January 1, 2006, net assets were restated to reflect reimbursements received in April 2006 from the State of Minnesota. The reimbursement revenue was earned when the corresponding expenditures were incurred in 2005. The receivables were not reported as revenue in the Road and Bridge Special Revenue Fund because they were not available for expenditure within 60 days of year-end. Although not revenue in the fund, the government-wide financial statements should have accrued the receivable as revenue.

We recommend the County review its records subsequent to year-end to make sure it has reported all possible adjustments. Such review should include an analysis of reimbursable grant expenditures to ensure that revenue is reported in the proper year.

Client’s Response:

This misstatement occurred in a unique situation in our highway area for right of way funding. Right of way was purchased in October 2005 and we applied for high priority dollars through the state in March 2006. High priority dollars on average are paid at an eighty percent level of claim. Reimbursement for right of way purchases was new in 2005 for us. Due to not having a definitive amount of reimbursement we did not setup a receivable to accrue for this revenue in 2005. We have now improved our process when billing the state so that a receivable will be created as soon as a bill is sent to the state. We will use the best estimate we have at the time to create the receivable.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

97-5 Business Continuity Plan

The County does not have a complete business continuity plan that would direct its response if a disaster or major computer breakdown were to occur. A business continuity plan would give greater assurance that the County is prepared for either situation. The County has established a Business Continuity Planning Committee which has been assessing risks and prioritizing the computer applications that are most critical. In 2006, the County developed a centrally located database of critical processes from each County department that would be required in the event of an outage of electricity and electronic systems. At the end of 2006, the County is about one-third complete on its stated goal of a completed business continuity plan. The goal is to have the completed business continuity plan by the end of 2007.

We recommend the County continue in its efforts to develop and implement a business continuity plan.

Client's Response:

Our goal is to have a completed business continuity plan, and tested, by the end of 2007.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated June 14, 2007. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority, as described in our report on Scott County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Scott County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control. We considered the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, items 06-1 and 06-2 to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by Scott County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe neither of the significant deficiencies described above is a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Scott County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government* contains six categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions. Our study included all of the listed categories.

The results of our tests indicate that, for the items tested, Scott County complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is a management practices comment. We believe this recommendation and information to be of benefit to Scott County and it is reported for that purpose.

Scott County's written responses to the significant deficiencies and management practices finding identified in our audit have not been subjected to any auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Board, management, others within Scott County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 14, 2007

This page was left blank intentionally.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Scott County with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006. Scott County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

Scott County's financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) which received federal awards that are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended December 31, 2006. Our audit described below did not include the Scott County HRA because other auditors were engaged to perform a single audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Scott County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered

necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Scott County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Scott County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Scott County's internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by Scott County's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated

June 14, 2007. We did not audit the financial statements of the Scott County HRA, a discretely presented component unit. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Board, management, others within Scott County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 14, 2007

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 2

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct			
Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	\$ 72,038	\$ -
CDBG/Brownsfield Economic Development Initiative	14.246	70,333	70,333
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		\$ 142,371	\$ 70,333
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct			
COPS FAST	16.710	\$ 3,366	\$ -
U.S. Department of Labor			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development			
SCSEP	17.235	\$ 52,059	\$ -
Passed Through Dakota-Scott Service Delivery Area #14			
Workforce Investment Act - Adult	17.258	91,031	-
Workforce Investment Act - Youth	17.259	123,392	-
Workforce Investment Act - Dislocated Worker	17.260	151,159	-
Total U.S. Department of Labor		\$ 417,641	\$ -
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	\$ 183,167	\$ -
Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas	20.509	98,700	-
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	1,827	-
Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving Prevention Incentive Grant	20.601	28,253	-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation		\$ 311,947	\$ -
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Education			
Early Intervention	84.181	\$ 640	\$ -
U.S. Election Assistance Commission			
Passed Through Minnesota Office of Secretary of State			
Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	90.401	\$ 292,957	\$ -

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 2
(Continued)

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
Disease Control	93.283	\$ 174,778	\$ -
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	139,948	-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	265,965	-
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds	93.596	76,391	-
Child Welfare Services - State Grants	93.645	11,408	-
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	106,421	-
Social Services Block Grant Title XX	93.667	407,756	-
Chafee Foster Care Independent Living	93.674	4,613	-
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health			
Immunization Grants	93.268	53,453	-
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958	18,212	-
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	67,532	-
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		\$ 1,326,477	\$ -
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Direct			
Cooperating Technical Partners	97.045	\$ 150,144	\$ -
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support Program	97.004	1,801	-
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	33,330	-
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	30,000	-
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Natural Resources			
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	37,841	-
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		\$ 253,116	\$ -
Total Federal Awards		\$ 2,748,515	\$ 70,333

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

1. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of federal award programs expended by Scott County. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.
2. The expenditures on this schedule are on the modified accrual basis of accounting.
3. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.