RESOLUTION NO. 2013-06

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF ANOKA CITY OF CIRCLE PINES

Resolution Authorizing Participation in State Performance Measures and Continuation of the Ten City Performance Measures of the Local Results and Innovation Council

WHEREAS, Benefits to the City of Circle Pines for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's comprehensive performance measurement program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and

WHEREAS, Any city participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and

WHEREAS, The City Council of Circle Pines has adopted and implemented at least10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and

NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of Circle Pines will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Circle Pines will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city.

Adopted this 25th day of June, 2013 by the City Council of the City of Circle Pines.

4 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Abstention

ATTEST:

James W. Keinath, City Administrator

Dave Bartholomay, Mayor

(Seal)

	How would you rate the overall appearance of the city?
# saying Excellent	14
# saying Good	60
# saying Fair	6
# saying Poor	0
# saying Don't know	1
Total Responses	81
% saying Excellent	17%
% saying Good	74%
% saying Fair	7%
% saying Poor	0%
% saying Don't know	1%
Overall a	appearance of city
	■ % saying Excellent
	■ % saying Good
	■ % saying Fair
	■ % saying Poor
	■ % saying Don't know

	How would you describe your overall f of safety in the city?	
saying Very Safe		58
saying Somewhat Safe		20
saying Somewhat unsafe		(
saying Very Unsafe	I = j	(
saying Don't know		
Total Responses		80
% saying Very Safe		73%
% saying Somewhat Safe		25%
% saying Somewhat unsafe		3%
% saying Very Unsafe		0%
% saying Very Unsafe % saying Don't know		
	ng of safety	
% saying Don't know	■ % saying Very Safe	0%
% saying Don't know		
% saying Don't know	■ % saying Very Safe	
% saying Don't know	■ % saying Very Safe ■ % saying Somewhat Safe	

		· · · · · · ·	
	How would you rate the overall quality	of fire	
	protection services in the city?		
# saying Excellent		28	
# saying Good		24	
# saying Fair		0	
# saying Poor		. 0	
# saying Don't know		28	
Total Responses		80	
% saying Excellent		35%	
% saying Good		30%	
% saying Fair		0%	
% saying Poor		0%	
% saying Don't know		35%	
Ovelity of fine			37
Quality of fire	protection		2 /
	_		
	■ % saying Excellent —		
	■ % saying Good		
	■ % saying Fair		
	■ % saying Poor		
	■ % saying Don't know		
	20 Saying Don't know		
		1	

low would you rate the overall condition of	
city streets?	
9	
48	
20	/
4	
0	
81	
11%	
59%	
25%	
5%	
00/1	
Overall condition of	city streets
Overall condition of	city streets
	city streets
	■ % saying Excellent
	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good
	% saying Excellent% saying Good% saying Fair
	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor

How would you rate the overall quality of		
snowplowing on city streets?		
11		
44		
8		
2		
16		
81		
14%		
54%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10%		
2%		
20%		
Quality of snowple	• % saying Excellent • % saying Good	
Quality of snowple	■ % saying Excellent	
Quality of snowple	% saying Excellent% saying Good	

How would you rate the dependability and		
verall quality of city sanitary sewer service?		
34		Ondragon School of School
32		
0		
14		
81		
42%	,	
40%		
1%		
0%		
17%		
Ovelity of conitons		
Quality of sanitary s	sewer	
Quality of sanitary	sewer ## % saying Excellent	
Quality of sanitary		
Quality of sanitary	■ % saying Excellent	
Quality of sanitary	% saying Excellent% saying Good	

How would you rate the dependability and		
overall quality of the city water supply?		
32		et ad a grad fil bergan d
38		
4		
4		
2		
80		
40%		
48%		
5%		
5%	*:	
3%		
1		
Quality of city wa	ater	
Quality of city wa	ater	
Quality of city wa		
Quality of city wa	■ % saying Excellent	
Quality of city wa	% saying Excellent% saying Good	
Quality of city wa	% saying Excellent% saying Good% saying Fair	
Quality of city wa	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor 	

ecreational programs and facilities (e.g. parks, trails, park facilities, etc.)?	
30	
36	
4 1	
10	
81	
01	
37%	
44%	×
5%	/
1%	
City rec programs an	d facilities
12%	d facilities % saying Excellent % saying Good
12%	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good
12%	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good ■ % saying Fair
12%	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good

city?	
32	
30	
4 2	
12	
80	
40%	
38%	
5% 3%	
15%	- 1
Police Services	S
Police Services	S % saying Excellent
Police Services	
Police Services	■ % saying Excellent
Police Services	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good

	•
ow would you rate the ambulance services	
in your city?	* 7
13	
15	
0	
49	
80	
16%	
19%	
4% 0%	
61%	
0170	
Ambulance servi	icas
Ambulance servi	
Ambulance servi	■ % saying Excellent
Ambulance servi	■ % saying Excellent
Ambulance servi	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good
Ambulance servi	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good ■ % saying Fair
Ambulance servi	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good
Ambulance Sel V	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good ■ % saying Fair
Ambulance sel vi	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor

ow would you rate the quality of licensing,	
rmitting and building inspection services in your city?	,
8	
31	
8	
4	
30	
81	
10%	
38%	
10%	
5%	
37%	
Licensing, permitting	
Licensing, permitting inspectio	
	on
	■ % saying Excellent
	on
	■ % saying Excellent
	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair
	% saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor
	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair

How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the city?	
28	
44	
6	
0	
3	
81	
35%	
54%	
7%	
0%	
Overall quality of	services
4%	■ % saying Excellent ■ % saying Good
4%	■ % saying Excellent