

RESOLUTION NO. 03-13 CITY COUNCIL Proctor, Minnesota

- WHEREAS, Benefits to the City of Proctor for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's comprehensive performance measurement program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and
- WHEREAS, Any city participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and
- WHEREAS, The City Council of Proctor has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and
- NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of Proctor will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Proctor will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city/county.

Motion by: Council Member Shawn McGovern, Second by: Council Member Jake Benson

Detail of Voting: Ayes - 5 Nays - 0

I CERTIFY THAT the above resolution was adopted by the Council on this 4th day of March 2013.

CITY OF PROCTOR

ATTEST

M:\Ten Perf Measures\2013 Perf Meas Prog\Resolution - Perf Measures 3-04-13.doc

Performance Measurement Program – 2012 Proctor Citizen Survey - Summary

| Performance Measurement Program – 2012 Proctor Citizen Survey - 3 | ce Measurement Program – 2012 Proctor Citizen Survey - Summary | | | |
|---|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| March 25, 2013. | Paper | Online | | Total |
| | Totals | Survey | Total F | Responses |
| 3. How would you rate the overalappearance of the city? | | | | |
| a. Excellent | 14 | 40 | 14 160 | |
| b. Good | 141 48 | 19 11 | 59 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) d. Poor (Please Explain) | 13 | 1 | 14 | |
| e. Don't know | 1 | | 1 | 248 |
| 4. How would you describe your overalleeling of safetyin the city? | | | | : |
| a. Very safe | 129 | 14 | 143 | |
| b. Somewhat safe | 82 6 | 15 2 | 97 8 | |
| c. Somewhat unsafe (Please Explain) d. Very unsafe (Please Explain) | 0 | | 0 | |
| e. Don't know | 2 | | 2 . | 250 |
| 5. How would you rate the overallquality of fire protection services in the city? | | | | |
| a. Excellent | 92 | 6 | 98 | |
| b. Good | 88 1 | 12 1 | 100 2 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) d. Poor (Please Explain) | i | • | 1 | |
| e. Don't know | 37 | 12 | 49 | 250 |
| 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1 | | | | |
| 6. How would you rate the overalbondition of city streetS a. Excellent | 3 | | 3 | |
| b. Good | 90 | 8 10 | 98 83 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) | 73 48 | 13 | 61 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) e. Don't know | 4 | | 4 | 249 |
| | | | | |
| 7. How would you rate the overallquality of snowplowing on city street9 | 81 | 8 | 89 | |
| a. Excellent b. Good | 102 | 11 | 113 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) | 21 12 | 8 3 | 29 15 | 7 |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 3 | J . | 3 | 249 |
| e. Don't know | | | | |
| 8. How would you rate thedependability and overall quality of city sanitary sewer service | 62 | 7 | 69 | |
| a. Excellent b. Good | 117 | 12 | 129 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) | 6 | 2 | 8 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 8 25 | 4 6 | 12 31 | 249 |
| e. Don't know | | - | | |
| 9. How would you rate thedependability and overall quality of the city water supply | 81 | 12 | 93 | |
| a, Excellent b, Good | 114 | 13 | 127 | |
| c. Fair (Please Explain) | 8 | 2 | 10 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 1 10 | 1 3 | 2 13 | 245 |
| e. Don't know | 10 | · | | |
| 10. How would you rate the overalquality of city recreational programs and facilitiese.g. parks, trails, park facilities, etc.) | 28 | 1 | 29 | |
| a. Excellent | 121 | 20 | 141 | |
| b. Good c. Fair (Please Explain) | 17 | 6 | 23 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 7 39 | 1 3 | 8 42 | 243 |
| e. Don't know | 33 | 3 | 72 | 210 |
| 11. How would you rate the overalquality of servicesprovided by the city? | | _ | 40 | |
| a. Excellent | 38 142 | 2 19 | 40 161 | |
| b. Good c, Fair (Please Explain) | 13 | 6 | 19 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 5 | 1 | 6 | 242 |
| e. Don't know | 13 | 3 | 16 | 242 |
| 12. How would you rate theemergency medical servicesprovided by the city? | _ | | | |
| a. Excellent | 71 80 | 4 10 | 75 90 | |
| b. Good c. Fair (Please Explain) | 3 | 1 | 4 | |
| d. Poor (Please Explain) | 3 | 0 | 3 | 044 |
| e. Don't know | 56 | 16 | 72 | 244 |
| 13. How would you rate the sense of community among Proctor residents? | | | | |
| a, Excellent | 41 | 2 | 43 146 | **. • |
| b. Good | 124 24 | 22 5 | 29 | |
| c, Fair (Please Explain) d. Poor (Please Explain) | 4 | 1 | 5 | |
| e, Don't know | 18 | 1 | 19 | 242 |
| 14. How often do you watch City Council or City Commission meetings on the access channel? | | | | |
| a. Frequently | 8 | 1 | 9 | |
| b. Occasionally | 36 49 | 2 6 | 38 55 | |
| c. Rarely d. Not at all | 120 | 22 | 142 | 244 |
| | | | | |

| Totals: | | |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| a, Excellent | 705 | 23.9% |
| b. Good | 1,400 | 47.4% |
| c. Fair | 329 | 11.1% |
| d. Poor | 269 | 9.1% |
| e. Don't know | 252 | 8.5% |
| | 2.955 | 100.0% |