

Sample resolution for cities/counties participating for the first time in the program

COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS/CITY COUNCIL

Florence, Minnesota

Date 6-12-2023 Resolution No. 1-1-2023
Motion by _____ Second by _____
Commissioner/Council _____ Commissioner/Council _____
Member *Susan Johnson* Member *Diana Slyten*

WHEREAS, In 2010, the Minnesota Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Local Results and Innovation developed a standard set of performance measures that will aid residents, taxpayers, and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of counties in providing services and measure residents' opinion of those services; and

WHEREAS, Benefits to the City of *Florence* County are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and

WHEREAS, Any city/county participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and

WHEREAS, The City Council of *Florence* County Board has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and

NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of *Florence* County will report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of *Florence* County will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the county/city.

Detail of Voting: Ayes 4 Nays 0

Standard Measures for Cities

Category	#	Measure	Notes
General	1.	Rating of the overall quality of services provided by your city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Excellent</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	2.	Percent change in the taxable property market value	County assessor's office data
	3.	Citizens' rating of the overall appearance of the city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Good</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	4.*	Nuisance code enforcement cases per 1,000 population	$(\text{Number of cases} / \text{Population}) \times 1,000 = \text{cases per 1,000 population}$
	5.*	Number of library visits per 1,000 population	$(\text{Number of visits} / \text{Population}) \times 1,000 = \text{visits per 1,000 population}$
	6.*	Bond rating	Standard & Poor's Ratings Services or Moody's Investor Services
	7.	Citizens' rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Good</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	8.*	Accuracy of post election audit (% of ballots counted accurately)	
Police Services	9.	Part I and II Crime Rates	Submit data as reported by the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
	10.*	Part I and II Crime Clearance Rates	Submit data as reported by the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
	11.	Citizens' rating of safety in their community (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Very Safe</i>	Example of responses: very safe, somewhat safe, neither safe nor unsafe, somewhat unsafe, very unsafe
	12.	Average police response time <i>15-20 minutes</i>	Average time it takes to respond to top priority calls from dispatch to officer on scene.
Fire & EMS Services	13.	Insurance industry rating of fire services	Insurance Service Office (ISO) Rating. The ISO issues ratings to fire departments throughout the country for the effectiveness of their fire protection services and equipment. ISO analyzes data and then assigns a classification from 1 to 10. Class 1 represents superior property fire protection and Class 10 indicates that the area's fire suppression program does not meet ISO's minimum criteria.
	14.	Citizens' rating of the quality of fire protection services (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	15.	Average fire response time	Average time it takes from dispatch to apparatus on scene for calls that are dispatched as a possible fire
	16.*	Fire calls per 1,000 population	$(\text{Number of calls} / \text{population}) \times 1,000 = \text{calls per 1,000 population}$
	17.*	Number of fires with loss resulting in investigation	
	18.*	EMS calls per 1,000 population	$(\text{Number of calls} / \text{population}) \times 1,000 = \text{calls per 1,000 population}$
	19.	Emergency Medical Services average response time	Average time it takes from dispatch to arrival of EMS
Streets	20.	Average city street pavement condition rating <i>40%</i>	Provide average rating and the rating system program/type. Example, 70 rating on the Pavement Condition Index (PCI).
	21.	Citizens' rating of the road conditions in their city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Fair</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor. Alternatively: good condition, mostly good condition, many bad spots
	22.*	Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction only roads) <i>\$2,000</i>	Total cost for rehabilitations / lane miles rehabilitated
	23.*	Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year	Lane miles rehabilitated in year / total number of lane miles
	24.*	Average hours to complete road system during snow event <i>3 hrs</i>	
	25.	Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Good</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
Water	26.	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply (survey data, provide year completed and total responses) <i>Excellent</i>	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	27.	Operating cost per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped/produced	Centrally provided system: $(\text{actual operating expense for water utility} / (\text{total gallons pumped} / 1,000,000)) = \text{cost per million}$
Sanitary Sewer	28.	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of city sanitary sewer service (Provide year completed and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	29.	Number of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections	Centrally provided system: $(\text{Number of blockages} / \text{number of connections}) \times 100 = \text{blockages per 100 connections}$

*New or amended measure