RESOLUTION NO. 15-87

RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY OF COON RAPIDS' PARTICIPATION IN THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION – PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the benefits to the City of Coon Rapids for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's Comprehensive Performance Measurement Program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State Statute; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes.

NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED by the City Council that the City of Coon Rapids will report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the City Council that the City of Coon Rapids will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the City.

Adopted this 16th day of June, 2015.

Jerry Koch, Mayor

ATTEST:

Joan Lenzmeier, City Clerk

City of Coon Rapids Data for Council on Local Results and Innovation - Performance Measurement Program

Category	#	Measure	2014 Data
General	**1.**	Rating of the overall quality of services provided by your city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 10%, Good - 74%, Fair - 16%, Poor - 1% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	2.	Percent change in the taxable property market value	2014 to 2015 taxable market value change: 13.7%
	3.	Citizens' rating of the overall appearance of the city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 12%, Good - 74%, Fair - 13%, Poor - 2% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	4.*	Nuisance code enforcement cases per 1,000 population	n/a
	5.*	Number of library visits per 1,000 population	n/a
	6.*	Bond rating	Aa1 (Moody's)
	7.	Citizens' rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Facilities: Excellent - 30%, Good - 59%, Fair - 10%, Poor - 1%, Don't Know/Refused - 1% Prorgrams: Excellent - 26%, Good - 71%, Fair - 3%, Poor - 0% (2013 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	8.*	Accuracy of post election audit (% of ballots counted accurately)	100% accurate
Police Services	**9.**	Part I and II Crime Rates	Part I: 30.07 per 1,000 pop., Part II: 61.75 per 1,000 pop.
	10.*	Part I and II Crime Clearance Rates	Part I Clearance Rate: 46.25%, Part II Clearance Rate: 82.71%
	11.	Citizens' rating of safety in their community (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Very Safe - 38%, Reasonably Safe - 57%, Somewhat Unsafe - 4%, Very Unsafe - 1% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	12.	Average police response time	n/a
Fire & EMS Services	**13.**	Insurance industry rating of fire services	ISO rating: 4
	14.	Citizens' rating of the quality of fire protection services (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 61%, Good - 34%, Fair - 1%, Poor - 0%, Don't Know/Refused - 5% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	15.	Average fire response time	n/a
	16.*	Fire calls per 1,000 population	27.18 calls per 1,000 pop.
	17.*	Number of fires with loss resulting in investigation	n/a
	18.*	EMS calls per 1,000 population	52.99 calls per 1,000 pop.
	19.	Emergency Medical Services average response time	n/a
Streets	**20.**	Average city street pavement condition rating	n/a
	21.	Citizens' rating of the road conditions in their city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 4%, Good - 60%, Fair - 32%, Poor - 4% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	22.*	Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction only roads)	n/a
	23.*	Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year	n/a
	24.*	Average hours to complete road system during snow event	n/a
	25.	Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 12%, Good - 67%, Fair - 19%, Poor - 4%, Don't Know/Refused - 0% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
Water	**26.**	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Dependability: Excellent - 28%, Good - 68%, Fair - 2%, Poor - 1%, Don't Know/Refused - 1% Quality: Excellent - 20%, Good - 59%, Fair - 16%, Poor - 4%, Don't Know/Refused - 1% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	27.	Operating cost per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped/produced	n/a
Sanitary Sewer	**28.**	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of city sanitary sewer service (Provide year completed and total responses)	Excellent - 23%, Good - 70%, Fair - 5%, Poor - 0%, Don't Know/Refused - 3% (2012 survey, 400 random telephone interviews)
	29.	Number of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections	n/a