CITY OF FARIBAULT

RESOLUTION #2013-115

AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE OF MINNESOTA AND THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION

- **WHEREAS**, In 2010, the Minnesota Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and
- WHEREAS, The Council on Local Results and Innovation developed a standard set of performance measures that will aid residents, taxpayers, and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of cities in providing services and measure residents' opinion of those services; and
- WHEREAS, Benefits to the City of Faribault are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and
- WHEREAS, Any city participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and
- WHEREAS, The City Council of Faribault has adopted and implemented at least10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and
- NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of Faribault will report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Faribault will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city.

Date Adopted: June 25, 2013

Faribault City Council

John R. Jasinski, Mayor

ATTEST:

Brian J. Anderson, City Administrator

Standard Measures for Cities

Category General	.1 #	Measure Rating of the overall quality of services provided by your city (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Example of respons
	2.	rty market value	County assessor's office data
	ω	(survey data, provide year	
	4.*	es per 1,000 population	(Number of cases / Population) \times 1,000 = cases per 1,000 population
	.*		(Number of visits / Population) \times 1,000 = visits per 1,000 population
	6.*		Standard & Poor's Ratings Services or Moody's Investor Services
	7.	Citizens' rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities (survey lata, provide year completed and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	. <u>*</u>	Accuracy of post election audit (% of ballots counted accurately)	
Police	9.		Submit data as reported by the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
Services	10.*	ance Rates	Submit data as reported by the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
	11	Citizens' rating of safety in their community (survey data, provide year completed	Example of responses: very safe, somewhat safe, neither safe nor unsafe, somewhat
	F	and total responses)	unsafe, very unsafe
	12.	Average police response time	Average time it takes to respond to top priority calls from dispatch to officer on scene.
Fire & EMS Services			Insurance Service Office (ISO) Rating. The ISO issues ratings to fire departments throughout the country for the effectiveness of their fire protection services and
	13.	Insurance industry rating of fire services	equipment. ISO analyzes data and then assigns a classification from 1 to 10. Class 1 represents superior property fire protection and Class 10 indicates that the area's fire suppression program does not meet ISO's minimum criteria.
	14.	Citizens' rating of the quality of fire protection services (survey data, provide year learned and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	15.	Average fire response time	Average time it takes from dispatch to apparatus on scene for calls that are dispatched as a possible fire
	16.*	Fire calls per 1,000 population	(Number of calls / population) x 1,000 = calls per 1,000 population
	17.*	Number of fires with loss resulting in investigation	
	18.*		(Number of calls / population) \times 1,000 = calls per 1,000 population
	19.	Emergency Medical Services average response time	Average time it takes from dispatch to arrival of EMS
Streets	20.	Average city street pavement condition rating	Provide average rating and the rating system program/type. Example, 70 rating on the
	21	Citizens' rating of the road conditions in their city (survey data, provide year	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor. Alternatively: good condition, mostly
	ţ.	completed and total responses)	good condition, many bad spots
	22.*	Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction only roads)	Total cost for $\operatorname{rehabilitations}$ / lane miles $\operatorname{rehabilitated}$
	23.*	Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year	Lane miles rehabilitated in year / total number of lane miles
	24.*		
	25.	s (survey data, provide	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
Water	26.	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply (survey data, provide year completed and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	27.	Operating cost per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped/produced	Centrally provided system: (actual operating expense for water utility / (total gallons pumped / 1,000,000)) = cost per million
Sanitary Sewer	28.	Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of city sanitary sewer service (Provide year completed and total responses)	Example of responses: excellent, good, fair, poor
	29.	Number of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections	Centrally provided system: (Number of blockages / number of connections) \times 100 = blockages per 100 connections

^{*}New or amended measure