

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto
State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT
PREPARED AS A RESULT OF THE AUDIT OF

BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the State Auditor's Office is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.


The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

**BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA**

Year Ended December 31, 2006



Management and Compliance Report

**Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota**

This page was left blank intentionally.

**BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	Schedule 1	1
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>		5
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133		8
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	Schedule 2	11

This page was left blank intentionally.

**BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 1

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

- A. Our report expresses an unqualified opinion on the basic financial statements of Blue Earth County.
- B. A deficiency in internal control was disclosed by the audit of financial statements of Blue Earth County and is reported in the "Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*." The control deficiency is a material weakness.
- C. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Blue Earth County were disclosed during the audit.
- D. No matters involving internal control over compliance relating to the audit of the major federal award programs were reported in the "Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133."
- E. The Auditor's Report on Compliance for the major federal award programs for Blue Earth County expresses an unqualified opinion.
- F. No findings were disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.
- G. The major programs are:
- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Help America Vote Act | CFDA #90.401 |
| Child Care Development Block Grant | CFDA #93.575 |
- H. The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- I. Blue Earth County was determined to be a low-risk auditee.

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

INTERNAL CONTROL

ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

06-1 Internal Control Over Accounts Receivable

Our review of receivables disclosed a receivable of \$302,792 from the City of Mankato from 2005. This receivable had not been paid and was not included in the County's receivable ledger. Because the receivable was not in the ledger, County personnel were not aware that it had not been paid.

We recommend that the County institute procedures to include all billed receivables in the receivable ledger, so any nonpayment would be noted and investigated in a timely manner.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

97-8 Ditch Deficit Fund Balances

As of December 31, 2006, 6 of the 136 individual ditch systems had deficit fund balances totaling \$74,459.

We recommend that the County eliminate the individual ditch deficit fund balances by levying assessments pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 103E.735, which permits the accumulation of a surplus balance for the repair and maintenance costs of a ditch system.

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED

Investment Policy (05-1)

The County should update its investment policy to include all of the factors discussed in Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 40.

Resolution

The County adopted a new investment policy on February 6, 2007.

B. CLIENT'S RESPONSE

The County concurs with the findings in this schedule.

C. OTHER ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

GASB recently issued Statement No. 43, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, which establishes financial reporting for OPEB plans; and Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, which governs employer accounting and financial reporting for OPEB. These standards, similar to what GASB Statements 25 and 27 did for government employee pension benefits and plans, provide the accounting and reporting standards for the various other postemployment benefits many local governments offer to their employees. OPEB can include many different benefits offered to retirees such as health, dental, life, and long-term care insurance coverage.

If retirees are included in an insurance plan and pay a rate similar to that paid for younger active employees, this implicit subsidy is considered OPEB. In fact, local governments may be required to continue medical insurance coverage pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b. This benefit is common when accumulated sick leave is used to pay for retiree medical insurance. Under the new GASB statements, accounting for OPEB is now similar to the accounting used by governments for pension plans.

Schedule 1
(Continued)

Some of the issues that the County Board will need to address in order to comply with the statements are:

- determine if employees are provided OPEB;
- if OPEB are being provided, the County Board will have to determine whether it will advance fund the benefits or pay for them on a pay-as-you-go basis;
- if OPEB are being provided, and the County Board determines that the establishment of a trust is desirable in order to fund the OPEB, the County Board will have to wait until legislation is enacted authorizing the creation of an OPEB trust and establishing an applicable investment standard; and
- in order to determine annual costs and liabilities that need to be recognized, the County Board will have to decide whether to hire an actuary.

If applicable for Blue Earth County, GASB Statements 43 and 45 would be implemented for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Board of County Commissioners
Blue Earth County

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 14, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Economic Development Authority Enterprise Fund, as described in our report on Blue Earth County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Blue Earth County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control. We considered the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 06-1 to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by Blue Earth County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider item 06-1 described above to be a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Blue Earth County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government* contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our study included all of the listed categories.

The results of our tests indicate that, for the items tested, Blue Earth County complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is a management practices comment and an other item for consideration. We believe these recommendations and information to be of benefit to Blue Earth County and are reported for that purpose.

Blue Earth County's written response to the significant deficiency and management practices findings identified in our audit has not been subjected to any auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management, others within Blue Earth County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

/s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

May 14, 2007

This page was left blank intentionally.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of County Commissioners
Blue Earth County

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Blue Earth County with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006. Blue Earth County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

Blue Earth County's financial statements include the operations of the Blue Earth County Economic Development Authority (EDA) component unit, which expended \$469,283 in federal awards during the year ended June 30, 2006, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the EDA, because it was audited by other auditors.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Blue Earth County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Blue Earth County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Blue Earth County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Blue Earth County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 14, 2007. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Blue Earth County's basic financial statements. We did not audit the Economic Development Authority Enterprise Fund, which was audited by other auditors. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for

purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Commissioners, management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

/s/Greg Hierlinger

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

May 14, 2007

This page was left blank intentionally.

**BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 2

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

Federal Grantor Pass Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)	10.557	\$ 167,082
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services State Administrative Matching Grant for Food Stamp Program	10.561	18,763
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Agriculture WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program	10.572	<u>798</u>
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		\$ 186,643
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development Community Development Block Grant	14.228	\$ 121,587
Lead Hazard Reduction Demo Grant Program	14.905	98,327
Direct Shelter Plus Care	14.238	<u>22,194</u>
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		\$ 242,108
U.S. Department of Justice		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention	16.540	\$ 5,100
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	24,883
Byrne Formula Grant Program	16.579	108,670
Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	16.727	450
Direct Drug Court Discretionary Grant	16.585	<u>32,024</u>
Total U.S. Department of Justice		\$ 171,127
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	\$ 99,220
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	36,477
Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving Prevention Incentive Grant	20.601	<u>2,210</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation		\$ 137,907
U.S. Department of Education		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health Special Education Grant for Infants and Families with Disabilities	84.181	\$ 1,995

**BLUE EARTH COUNTY
MANKATO, MINNESOTA**

Schedule 2
(Continued)

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

Federal Grantor Pass Through Agency Grant Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
Election Assistance Commission		
Passed Through Minnesota Secretary of State Help America Vote Act	90.401	\$ 492,000
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Health Immunization Grants	93.268	\$ 23,348
Center for Disease Control and Prevention	93.283	77,384
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Home Visits	93.558	35,061
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	60,946
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services		
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	27,268
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	639,278
Child Care Development Block Grant	93.575	1,068,734
Child Welfare Services	93.645	13,158
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	170,919
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	398,395
Independent Living	93.674	5,511
Community Mental Health Services Block Grant	93.958	19,320
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		\$ 2,539,322
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety Homeland Security Grant Program	97.004	\$ 22,020
Homeland Security Grant Program - Emergency Response	97.067	18,187
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		\$ 40,207
Total Federal Awards		\$ 3,811,309

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

1. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of federal award programs expended by Blue Earth County, not including the Blue Earth County Economic Development Authority component unit. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.
2. The expenditures on this schedule are on the modified accrual basis of accounting.
3. During 2006, the County did not pass any federal money to subrecipients.
4. Pass-through grant numbers were not assigned by the pass-through agencies.
5. Federal grant revenues per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenues - \$3,811,309.