## STATE OF MINNESOTA

## Office of the State Auditor



**Rebecca Otto State Auditor** 

### MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT

CLAY COUNTY MOORHEAD, MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

#### **Description of the Office of the State Auditor**

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

**Government Information** - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

**Legal/Special Investigations** - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

**Pension** - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 650 public pension funds; and

**Tax Increment Financing** - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

Year Ended December 31, 2016



### **Management and Compliance Report**

Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Corrective Action Plan	12
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	14
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	15
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	18





## STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Clay County Moorhead, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Clay County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 20, 2017.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Clay County's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A

significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 1996-004, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Clay County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Minnesota Legal Compliance**

The Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the County's financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because Clay County administers no tax increment financing districts.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Clay County failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, except as described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2016-001. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

#### **Other Matter**

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is an other matter described as item 2016-002.

#### **Clay County's Response to Findings**

Clay County's responses to the internal control and legal compliance findings and to the other matter identified in our audit are described in the Corrective Action Plan. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

#### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

September 20, 2017





## STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

#### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners Clay County Moorhead, Minnesota

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Clay County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. Clay County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Clay County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred.

An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Clay County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Clay County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of Clay County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Clay County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated September 20, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Clay County's basic financial statements. accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

#### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

September 20, 2017



## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

#### I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

#### **Financial Statements**

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified** 

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

#### Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? No
- Significant deficiencies identified? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? **No** 

The major federal programs are:

Temporary Assistance for Needy Children CFDA No. 93.558 Foster Care - Title IV-E CFDA No. 93.658

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$750,000.

Clay County qualified as a low-risk auditee? Yes

## II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

#### INTERNAL CONTROL

#### PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

Finding Number 1996-004

#### Segregation of Duties

**Criteria:** Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control. Adequate segregation of duties is a key internal control in preventing and detecting errors or irregularities. To protect County assets, proper segregation of the record-keeping, custody, and authorization functions should be in place, and where management decides segregation of duties may not be cost effective, compensating controls should be in place.

**Condition:** Due to the limited number of personnel within several Clay County offices, segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control is not possible. The smaller fee offices generally have one staff person who is responsible for billing, collecting, recording, and depositing receipts as well as reconciling bank accounts.

**Context:** This is not unusual in operations the size of Clay County; however, the County's management should constantly be aware of this condition and realize that the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desirable from an internal control point of view.

**Effect:** Inadequate segregation of duties could adversely affect the County's ability to detect misstatements in a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Cause: The County informed us that collecting fees for services at a department level provides a convenience for their customers. Fee services are provided in several locations, so having customers paying at a single point of collection, such as the Treasurer's Office, would be very inconvenient. The staffing available in several of these smaller offices limits the potential for complete segregation of duties.

**Recommendation:** We recommend Clay County's officials and management be mindful that limited staffing increases the risks in safeguarding the County's assets and the proper recording of its financial activity and, where possible, implement oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being followed by staff.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

#### III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

None.

#### IV. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A. MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

#### ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding Number 2016-001

#### **Publishing Itemized Claims**

**Criteria:** Minn. Stat. § 375.12 requires that County Board minutes be published within 30 days of the meeting and include an individualized, itemized list of County Board-approved payments over \$2,000. For claims \$2,000 or less, the total number of claims and total amount shall be stated. The County can publish summaries of the minutes, meeting the requirement of Minn. Stat. § 331A.01. However, the County must still publish claims as required by Minn. Stat. § 375.12.

**Condition:** Clay County does not publish an itemized list of County Board-approved payments over \$2,000 with the total number of claims and total amount for payments under \$2,000 as provided by Minn. Stat. § 375.12.

**Context:** The publication of County Board minutes provides a summary by fund for County Board-approved payments made during the respective meeting.

**Effect:** The County is not in compliance with Minn. Stat. § 375.12.

**Cause:** The County Board does not wish to incur the additional cost of publication and makes claim detail information available at the County Courthouse.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County comply with Minn. Stat. § 375.12 and publish an itemized list of County Board-approved payments over \$2,000 with the total number of claims and total amount for payments under \$2,000.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

#### B. <u>OTHER MATTER</u>

#### ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding Number 2016-002

**Eligibility Testing** 

**Program:** U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medical Assistance Program (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1605MN5ADM, 2016

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Human Services

**Criteria:** Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award.

**Condition:** The state maintains the computer system, MAXIS, which is used by the County to support the eligibility determination process. While periodic supervisory case reviews are performed to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with grant requirements for eligibility, not all assets or income were verified or entered into MAXIS to support participant eligibility. The following instances were noted in our sample of 15 cases tested:

- two instances where the County did not obtain documentation to support or otherwise verify the value of assets, and
- one instance where income was not verified.

**Questioned Costs:** Not applicable. The County administers the program, but benefits to participants in this program are paid by the State of Minnesota.

**Context:** The State of Minnesota contracts with the Social Services Department to perform the "intake function" (meeting with the social services client to determine income and categorical eligibility), while the state maintains MAXIS, which supports the eligibility determination process and actually pays the benefits to participants.

**Effect:** The lack of documented verification of information input into MAXIS increases the risk that a program participant will receive benefits when they are not eligible.

**Cause:** County program personnel responsible for entering case information into MAXIS did not ensure all required information was verified or updated properly.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the County implement additional procedures to provide reasonable assurance that all necessary documentation to support eligibility determinations is obtained and properly updated in MAXIS. In addition, consideration should be given to providing additional training to program personnel.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged



## REPRESENTATION OF CLAY COUNTY MOORHEAD, MINNESOTA

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

Finding Number: 1996-004

Finding Title: Segregation of Duties

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Lori Johnson, County Auditor-Treasurer

#### Corrective Action Planned:

Clay County is aware of the lack of segregation of duties in some of the smaller departments and has implemented oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being implemented by staff.

#### **Anticipated Completion Date:**

N/A

Finding Number: 2016-001

Finding Title: Publishing Itemized Claims

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Brian Berg, County Administrator

#### Corrective Action Planned:

Clay County will begin publishing County Board-approved payments over \$2,000 with the total number of claims and total amount for payments under \$2,000.

#### Anticipated Completion Date:

10/1/2017

Finding Number: 2016-002

**Finding Title: Eligibility Testing** 

**Program: Medical Assistance Program (CFDA No. 93.778)** 

#### Name of Contact Persons Responsible for Corrective Action:

Rhonda Porter, Social Services Director Larry Young, Income Maintenance Supervisor

#### Corrective Action Planned:

- 1. We requested case file numbers for the cases that had the identified deficiencies. This allowed us to review the details of each case, look for any circumstances that may have contributed to the deficiency and to identify training needs for specific staff.
- 2. One case review per quarter will be done for all Health Care Eligibility Workers. Should deficiencies be identified, all Health Care workers will be informed and any training provided.

#### Anticipated Completion Date:

On-Going

## REPRESENTATION OF CLAY COUNTY MOORHEAD, MINNESOTA

#### SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

<b>Summary of Condition</b>	: Due to the limited nu	mber of personnel with	hin several Clay County
offices, segregation of ac	counting duties necessar	y to ensure adequate in	ternal accounting control
is not possible. The sm	aller fee offices generall	y have one staff person	n who is responsible for

billing, collecting, recording, and depositing receipts as well as reconciling bank accounts.

Finding Number: 1996-004

**Finding Title: Segregation of Duties** 

Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: Clay County is aware of the lack of segregation of duties in some of the smaller departments and has implemented oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being implemented by staff.

**Status:** Not Corrected. Collecting fees at Clay County offices serves as a convenience for the public. It is not cost effective to hire additional staff, which limits the potential of complete segregation of duties.

Was corrective	action	taken	significantly	different th	an the action	previously	reported?
Yes	No	X					



### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Number	Expenditures		Passed Through to Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Agriculture Passed Through Minnesota Department of Education Child Nutrition Cluster School Breakfast Program National School Lunch Program (Total expenditures for Child Nutrition Cluster \$80,829)	10.553 10.555	Not Provided Not Provided	\$	29,833 50,996	\$	- -
Passed Through Partnership4Health Community Health Board Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	32573		277,640		-
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	16162MN101S2514		473,859		
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$	832,328	\$	
U.S. Department of Commerce Passed Through the Headwaters Regional Development Commission State and Local Implementation Grant Program	11.549	A-SLIGP-2013- NWRRB-HRDC-00004	\$	226	\$	
U.S. Department of Justice Direct						
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program (Total Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program 16.579 \$75,220)	16.579		\$	36,720	\$	-
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607			509		-
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety  Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	A-CVS-2016-CLAYAO- 00054		38,835		-
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	A-JAG-2014-CLAYCO- 0018		23,086		-
Passed Through City of Moorhead Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program (Total Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program 16.579 \$75,220)	16.579	A-VCET-2015- MOORHDPD-00027		38,500		-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$	137,650	\$	

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Number	Expenditures		Passed Expenditures to Subr	
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed Through Minnesota Department of Transportation						
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	00014	\$	90,398	\$	90,398
Passed Through City of Moorhead Highway Safety Cluster		A ENERGIA 2014				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	A-ENFRC16-2016- MOORHDPD-00015 A-ENFRC16-2016-		2,048		-
National Priority Safety Programs (Total expenditures for Highway Safety Cluster \$5,864)	20.616	MOORHDPD-00015		3,816		
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$	96,262	\$	90,398
U.S. Department of Education						
Passed Through Partnership4Health Community Health Board						
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families	84.181	87630	\$	1,933	\$	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services						
Passed Through Partnership4Health Community Health Board						
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	90858	\$	87,562	\$	-
Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health						
Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative						
Agreements	93.074	Not Provided		765		-
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	58583		2,950		-
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Maternal, Infant, and						
Early Childhood Home Visiting Program	93.505	87929		2,250		-
PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public				Ź		
Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance						
Financed in Part by Prevention and Public Health Funds	93.539	58583		560		-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	95995		89,719		-
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.558 \$852,435)						
State Public Health Actions to Prevent and Control						
Diabetes, Heart Disease, Obesity, and Associated Risk						
Factors and Promote School Health Financed in Part by						
Prevention and Public Health Funding (PPHF)	93.757	1 U58DP005452-01		304,538		-
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to				-		
the States	93.994	Not Provided		77,568		-

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 (Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Number	E	xpenditures	Through recipients
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Human Services					
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness					
(PATH)	93.150	SM016024-15		46,950	-
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	G-1601MNFPSS		14,868	-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MNTANF		638,286	-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MFTANF		124,430	-
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families					
93.558 \$852,435)					
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1604MNCSES		64,080	-
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1604MNCEST		1,051,577	=
(Total Child Support Enforcement 93.563 \$1,115,657)					
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State-Administered					
Programs	93.566	1601MNRCMA		431	-
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	G1601MNCCDF		28,907	-
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590	G-1502MNFRPG		10,511	-
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645	G-1601MNCWSS		8,264	-
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	1601MNFOST		1,007,621	-
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	16-01MNSOSR		411,758	-
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674	G-1601MNCILP		9,782	=
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	05-1605MN5ADM		1,921,669	-
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	05-1605MN5MAP		19,332	-
(Total Medical Assistance Program 93.778 \$1,941,001)					
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958	SM010027-16		3,173	 
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$	5,927,551	\$ 
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
Passed Through Minnesota Department of Public Safety					
3		F-EMPG-2016-			
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	CLAYCO-1634 F-EMPG-2016-	\$	9,995	\$ -
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	CLAYCO-1719 F-EMPG-2016-		8,668	-
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	CLAYCO-1744		7,575	
(Total Emergency Management Performance Grants 97.042 \$26,238)	97.042	CLATCO-1/44		7,373	 
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$	26,238	\$ 
Total Federal Awards			\$	7,022,188	\$ 90,398



## NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

#### 1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Clay County. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Clay County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2016. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Clay County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of Clay County.

#### 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Clay County has elected to not use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

#### 4. Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, deferred in 2016 State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition	\$ 6,856,366
Assistance Program	155,965
Highway Planning and Construction	10,548
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	23,475
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	1,422
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	2,991
Child Care and Development Block Grant	2,308
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	1,589
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	1,123
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	4,167
Deferred in 2015, recognized as revenue in 2016	
Highway Planning and Construction	 (37,766)
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	\$ 7,022,188