

**RESOLUTION NO. 2018-12**

**STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF ANOKA  
CITY OF CIRCLE PINES**

**Resolution Authorizing Participation in State Performance Measures and Continuation of the Ten City Performance Measures of the Local Results and Innovation Council**

**WHEREAS,** Benefits to the City of Circle Pines for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's comprehensive performance measurement program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and

**WHEREAS,** Any city participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and

**WHEREAS,** The City Council of Circle Pines has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and

**NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT,** The City Council of Circle Pines will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** The City Council of Circle Pines will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city.


Adopted this 12th day of June, 2018 by the City Council of the City of Circle Pines.

5 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Abstention

ATTEST:



Patrick Antonen, City Administrator

  
Dave Bartholomay, Mayor  
(Seal)

City Survey



	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
<b>Total Responses</b>	81	157	192	195	123	247

<b>Appearance</b>		%	%	%	%	%	%
	Excellent	17	19	15	22	29	23
	Good	74	69	69	65	59	63
	Fair	7	10	13	11	11	12
	Poor	0	1	2	2	1	2
	Don't Know	1	1	2	1	0	0

<b>Feel Safe</b>							
	Very Safe	73	67	63	71	73	70
	Somewhat Safe	25	29	34	26	24	25
	Somewhat Unsafe	3	3	2	2	2	3
	Very Unsafe	0	0	1	2	1	1
	Don't Know	0	0	1	1	0	1

<b>Quality of Fire Service</b>							
	Excellent	35	34	42	39	37	42
	Good	30	3	31	28	33	32
	Fair	0	2	1	2	1	2
	Poor	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Don't Know	35	32	26	31	29	24

<b>Condition of Streets</b>							
	Excellent	11	11	9	18	14	16
	Good	59	47	42	54	66	60
	Fair	25	32	39	26	16	19
	Poor	5	10	9	2	4	5
	Don't Know	0	0	1	0	0	0

<b>Snow Plowing</b>							
	Excellent	14	21	25	25	28	25
	Good	54	51	46	48	52	48
	Fair	10	17	22	15	11	17
	Poor	2	5	5	4	3	2
	Don't Know	20	6	1	8	7	8

<b>Sewer Service</b>							
	Excellent	42	40	41	39	46	43
	Good	40	46	44	47	43	46
	Fair	1	3	4	2	2	4

City Survey

	Poor	0	0	1	0	2	0
	Don't Know	17	10	11	11	8	7

2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017

City Water		%					
	Excellent	40	37	41	42	44	37
	Good	48	49	42	44	37	52
	Fair	5	10	9	7	9	7
	Poor	5	1	3	5	4	2
	Don't Know	3	3	4	3	7	2

Police Services							
	Excellent	40	34	39	43	41	47
	Good	38	39	36	36	35	35
	Fair	5	8	7	7	7	5
	Poor	3	6	3	3	2	2
	Don't Know	15	13	16	12	16	8

Ambulance Services							
	Excellent	16	15	19	18	17	24
	Good	19	29	16	21	26	24
	Fair	4	5	3	2	0	2
	Poor	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Don't Know	61	51	61	59	57	50

Licensing, Inspections, Permitting							
	Excellent	10	14	9	14	14	15
	Good	38	37	40	37	33	30
	Fair	10	7	9	7	7	7
	Poor	5	5	3	2	2	4
	Don't Know	37	37	39	41	45	44

Park & Rec							
	Excellent	37	37	32	44	40	34
	Good	44	44	50	40	45	48
	Fair	5	12	10	7	6	7
	Poor	1	3	2	2	2	2
	Don't Know	12	4	6	8	7	9

Overall Quality of Services							
	Excellent	35	29	32	32	39	32
	Good	54	60	54	59	52	57
	Fair	7	8	9	5	4	8
	Poor	0	1	1	1	0	1
	Don't Know	4	3	4	4	5	2